

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

VERONICA DURPHEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

EXPERIAN INFORMATION
SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C24-468 MJP

ORDER GRANTING IN PART
MOTION TO EXTEND

This matter comes before the Court on the Parties' Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines. (Dkt. No. 79.) Having reviewed the Motion and all supporting materials, the Court GRANTS in part the request.

The Parties ask to extend the trial date and all interim deadlines by 60 days, citing the fact that Defendant Trans Union's Rule 30(b)(6) deposition will occur on April 15, 2025 and that she will need 30 days from that date to prepare an expert report. The Parties also note that Plaintiff's deposition is set for April 29, 2025, that they are engaged in settlement discussions, and that they

also wish to prepare expert rebuttal reports. The Parties make this requested extension after the Court granted a one month extension of the expert, rebuttal expert, discovery, discovery motions, and dispositive motion deadlines. (Dkt. No. 78.) Doing so compressed the time between trial and the filing of dispositive motions to roughly three months.

The Court here does not find good cause to extend the trial date and deadlines as requested. The Court finds good cause only to extend the expert filing deadlines, as well as the discovery-related deadlines. This accommodates the claimed need for expert reports after the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Defendant Trans Union. But the Court provides fewer than the 30 days from the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition that Plaintiff requested, finding that the time requested is excessive and will unduly impact the case schedule. The Court here preserves the dispositive motion deadline, which cannot be further extended altering the trial date. And, based on the record presented, there is not good cause to extend the trial date, as the concerns the Parties note can be accommodated with the amended schedule set out below. The Court also notes that the Parties' desire to engage in settlement negotiations is not good cause to alter the case schedule. The Court sets the following schedule:

Deadline	Existing Deadline	New Deadline
Jury Trial	September 8, 2025 at 9:00 AM	No Change
Reports from expert witness under FRCP 26(a)(2) due	March 14, 2025	April 29, 2025
Expert Rebuttal Reports	April 14, 2025	May 29, 2025
All motions related to discovery must be filed and noted on the motion calendar in compliance with Local Civil Rule (LCR) 7(d)	April 14, 2025	May 29, 2025
Discovery completed by	May 9, 2025	June 5, 2025

1	All dispositive motions must be filed by and noted on the motion calendar in compliance with LCR 7(d)	June 9, 2025	No Change
2			
3	All motions in limine must be filed by and noted on the motion calendar in compliance with LCR 7(d)(5)	August 4, 2025	No Change
4			
5	Agreed pretrial order due	August 26, 2025	No Change
6	Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, and proposed jury instructions due	August 26, 2025	No Change
7	Pretrial Conference	August 28, 2025 at 1:30PM	No Change
8			
9	Length of Jury Trial	3-5 days	No Change

10
11 All other requirements and directions set forth in the Case Schedule Order shall continue
12 to apply. (Dkt. No. 43.)

13 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

14 Dated March 21, 2025.

15 

16 Marsha J. Pechman
17 United States Senior District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24